

Reducing Emergency Department Overuse: A \$38 Billion Opportunity

Opportunity

Emergency department overuse: \$38 billion in wasteful health care spending



An increasing number of people are using hospital emergency departments (ED) for non-urgent care and for conditions that could have been treated in a primary care setting. Nationally, 56 percent, or roughly 67 million visits, are potentially avoidable.² Reducing this trend represents a significant opportunity to improve quality and lower costs in health care.

Significant Savings

The average cost of an ED visit is \$580 more than the cost of an office health care visit.3

Who uses the ED for non-urgent care?

- All payer and age groups.
- Insured patients with a usual source of primary care.

Solutions

million, or more than half of the 120 million annual emergency visits, are potentially avoidable

Increasing access to primary care services can reduce emergency department overuse by up to 56 percent. A number of tested measures already exist, including offering alternative approaches to primary care, specialized services for vulnerable populations, and effective chronic disease management.

Quality Improvements

Improved Access to Primary Care Services

- Patient-Centered Health Care Home: Early data from health care home pilots have observed a 37 percent reduction in ED use.⁴
- Weekend Hours: Patients receiving care from a primary care practice offering weekend hours use the ED 20 percent less than patients from practices that do not.⁵
- Telephone Consultation: 24-hour access to a physician telephone service reduced avoidable ED use from 41 percent of visits to 8 percent of visits.⁶

Drivers for Change

- → Payment Reform for Providers
- → Financial Incentives for Patients
- → Improved Data on Emergency Department Utilization

Reducing the overuse of emergency department services requires policy actions that involve providers, payers, and patients.

Action Steps

Payment Reform for Providers

- Adopt payment approaches to enable providers to invest in primary care improvements such as extended hours, increased contact with patients via telephone and e-mail, health information technology, and additional staff for care teams.
- Implement performance-based payment systems that use patient ED utilization or appointment wait times as quality metrics to reward health care professionals who reduce ED overuse.

Financial Incentives for Patients

- Reduce co-payments for patients who use urgent care clinics.
- Increase patient co-payments for non-urgent ED visits.

Improved Data on ED Utilization

In order to report accurate and up-to-date information to providers on their patients'

continued on the back

continued on the back

continued on the back

Reducing Emergency Department Overuse: A \$38 Billion Opportunity

Opportunity continued

Why Is This Happening?

 One-third of ED visits are made during regular business hours when primary care offices are open.

Primary Care: A System in Crisis

- Long Waits: Lack of timely appointments and after-hours care drive patients to the ED.
- Poor Care Coordination: Without access to primary care, the chronically ill often end up in the ED.
- Health Care Professionals' Orders: Primary care practices often instruct patients to seek care in the ED.

Emergency Services: An Enticing Alternative

- An Open Door: Patients can receive ED care anytime regardless of the severity of their condition.
- Reassurance: The ED provides patients with immediate feedback about their condition.
- One-Stop Shopping: A wide range of services are readily available in the ED.

Solutions continued

■ Telephone Triage Lines: Nurse-operated telephone triage programs that provide patients with prompt medical advice reduced ED utilization by 4.3 percent and produced annual net savings of nearly \$400,000.⁷

Alternative Approaches to Primary Care

- Urgent Care: Free-standing hospitalbased urgent care clinics have the potential to reduce ED use by nearly 48 percent.⁸
- **Telehealth:** Patients who had Internetbased, interactive "virtual visits" with clinicians to diagnose and treat routine childhood symptoms used the ED 22 percent less than patients who did not use these services.⁹
- Retail Clinics: Retail clinics provide convenient medical care for simple, acute medical conditions without an appointment. Retail clinic visits cost one-fifth of ED visits, and 10 percent of ED patient visits might have been cared for adequately by retail clinic staff.¹⁰

Specialized Services for Vulnerable Populations

 Services for the Homeless: A housing and case management program for homeless adults reduced ED use by 24 percent.¹¹

Effective Chronic Disease Management

Group Visits: Chronically ill adults who participated in group visits with other patients with similar diseases used the ED 17 percent less than patients without such access.¹²

Drivers for Change continued

ED overuse:

- Provide health plan claims data to health care professionals on the ED utilization of their patient populations.
- Provide hospital utilization data on avoidable ED visits to patients' primary care providers.

This series was produced in collaboration with NEHI (www.nehi.net)

Notes

Opportunity

- New England Healthcare Institute (NEHI), How Many More Studies Will It Take? A
 Collection of Evidence That Our Health Care System Can Do Better, Cambridge, MA:
 NEHI, 2008. Available at www.nehi.net/publications/30/how_many_more_studies_
 will_it_take. Last accessed November 2010.
- Weinick R, Billings J, Thorpe J, Ambulatory care sensitive emergency department visits: a national perspective, Abstr AcademyHealth Meet, 2003;20(abstr no. 8):525-526.
- Machlin, SR, Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS), Statistical Brief 111: Expenses for a Hospital Emergency Room Visit, 2003, Adjusted to 2007 Data. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), 2006. Available at www.meps.ahrq. gov/mepsweb/data_files/publications/st111/stat111.pdf Last accessed November 2010.

Solutions

 Grumbach K, Bodenheimer T, Grundy, P., The outcomes of implementing patient-centered medical home interventions: A review of the evidence on quality, access and costs from recent prospective evaluation studies, Washington, DC: Patient-Centered Primary Care Collaborative, 2009. Available at www.pcpcc.net/files/Grumbach_et-al_Evidence-of-Quality_%20101609_0.pdf. Last accessed November 2010.

- Lowe RA, Localio AR, Schwarz DF, et al., Association between primary care practice characteristics and emergency department use in a Medicaid managed care organization. Med Care. 2005;43(8):792-800.
- Franco SM, Mitchell CK, Buzon RM, Primary care physician access and gatekeeping: a key to reducing emergency department use, Clin Pediatr, 1997;36(2):63-68.
- O'Connell JM, Johnson DA, Stallmayer J, et al., A satisfaction and return-on-investment of a nurse triage service, Am J Manage Care, 2001;7(2):159-169.
- Merritt B, Naamon E, Morris SA, The influence of an urgent care center on the frequency of ED visits in an urban hospital setting, Am J Emerg Med, 2000;18(2):123-125.
- McConnochie KM, Wood NE, Herendeen NE, et al., Acute illness care patterns change with use of telemedicine, *Pediatrics*, 2009;123(6):e989-e995.
- Adamson, DR. Health Care on Aisle 7. The Growing Phenomenon of Retail Clinics. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2010. Available at www.rand.org/pubs/research_ briefs/2010/RAND_RB9491.pdf. Last accessed November 2010.
- Sadowski LS, Kee RA, VanderWeele TJ, et al., Effect of a housing and case management program on emergency department visits and hospitalizations among chronically ill homeless adults, JAMA, 2009;301(17):1771-1778.
- Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, et al., Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits, Eff Clin Pract, 2001;4(2):49-57.

This publication is supported by a grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (www.rwjf.org).